UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,390	07/13/2005	Dieter Manstein	034525/US/2-475387-00129	3451
30873 DORSEY & W	7590 12/23/200 HITNEY LLP	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY DEPA	SHAY, DAVID M		
250 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10177			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3769	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/542,390	MANSTEIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	david shay	3769			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Nove</i> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 63-109 and 113-139 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 63-109 and 113-139 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.  r election requirement. r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence and the confidence are supplied as a supplied to by the Example 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :July 13, 2005; October 17, 2005; November 25, 2005; July 20, 2007; and November 11, 2008.

Art Unit: 3769

The drawings are objected to because in Figure 4, elements 400 and 402 are not labeled with indicia indicative of their function; in Figure 5, elements 402 and 502 are not labeled with indicia indicative of their function; in Figure 6, elements 402 and 602 are not labeled with indicia indicative of their function; in Figures 7A and 7B, element 709 is not labeled with indicia indicative of its function; in Figure 8, elements 716 and 800 are not labeled with indicia indicative of their function; and in Figure 9, elements 906 is not labeled with indicia indicative of its function. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "size of the target area is approximately 1 cm<sup>2</sup>"; the "case having an aperture formed in the side wall"; the "transparent

Application/Control Number: 10/542,390

Art Unit: 3769

plate...wherein the transparent plate seals the case"; the "beam collimator"; and the average distance between the areas is "at least 10  $\mu$ m and at most 2000  $\mu$ m" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Page 3

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 63-89 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3769

In claim 63, exactly what range of areas is intended to be encompassed by "approximately 1 cm<sup>2</sup>" is unclear as this recitation lacks positive antecedent basis in the originally filed specification. In claim 74 it is unclear what further structure is intended to be implied by reciting the effect of the radiation on the skin. In claims 78 and 98, exactly what is intended to be encompassed by "a lateral diameter" is unclear. In claim 94 it is unclear what further method step or refinement of an existing step is intended to be implied by reciting the effect of the radiation on the skin.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.

Claims 63, 65, 72-76, 78-88, 90, 91, 93-96, 99-108, 113, 115, 122-126, 1nd 128-138 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tankovich.

See Figures 16A and 16B and column 16, line 20 to column 20, line 20.

Claims 63-80, 82-98, 102-109, 113-115, 122-128, and 132-139 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Altshuler et al (WO 2002/053050).

Application/Control Number: 10/542,390

Art Unit: 3769

Page 5

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 63-109 and 113-139 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Altshuler et al (WO 2002/053050) in combination with Altshuler et al (US 6,517,532).

Altshuler et al (WO 2002/053050) teach a device and method as claimed, except the particular structure of the applicator with the transparent plate; the temperature to which the plate is cooled; and that the controller, laser, and the delivery arrangement are all in the same housing. Altshuler et al (US 6,517,532) teaches an applicator with a cooled plate as claimed. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ a device and method as taught by Altshuler et al (US 6,517,532) in the device and method of Altshuler et al (WO 2002/053050) since Altshuler et al (WO 2002/053050) provides no particular structure and with regard to the applicator, and to place all the components in a single housing, since this is not critical; is well within the skill of one having ordinary skill in the art; provides no unexpected result; and would render the device more easily movable from one place to another, thus producing a device and method such as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,390 Page 6

Art Unit: 3769

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Johnson, can be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/david shay/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3769